



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,874	05/30/2001	Young-Won Lim	P 281290 POOHA-006/US	P 281290 POOHA-006/US 4508	
909 75	90 09/28/2004		EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY	WINTHROP, LLP		NALVEN, A	NDREW L	
P.O. BOX 1050 MCLEAN, VA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2134		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			\\		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	3/		
	09/866,874	LIM, YOUNG-WON	9		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew L Nalven	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 I	MONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this comr ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30</u>	) May 2001.				
·	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	. 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	) <del>-</del> 152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this National S	tage		
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachment(s)	🗖	0			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	· —	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/866,874

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-7 are pending.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 16 reads, "a controller *for* control the multiplexer." For the remainder of this office action Examiner has interpreted the limitation to read "a controller to control the multiplexer." Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 4 (and thus their dependent claims) appear to be in the form of a Jepson claim (improvement claim) and thus imply that the subject matter of the preamble is the prior art of another. However, by using the language "the encryption device comprising," the purpose of the subject matter of the preamble becomes unclear. Examiner suggests a correction to "wherein the improvement comprises" as suggested by 37 CFR 1.75(e).

Application/Control Number: 09/866,874

Art Unit: 2134

### **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 09/874377. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are directed to a specific case of the claims of Application No. 09/874377. The claims of the instant application are directed towards an encryption apparatus with a multiplexer receiving two 48-bit inputs, a controller to control the multiplexer, a single S-Box permutation unit, and a de-multiplexer to distribute 32-bit data from the S-Boxes to one of two outputs. The copending application claims an encryption apparatus with a multiplexer receiving three 48-bit inputs, a controller to control the multiplexer, a single S-Box permutation unit, and a de-multiplexer to distribute 32-bit data from the S-Boxes to one of three outputs. At the time the invention was made, it would have been

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/866,874

Art Unit: 2134

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide support for two inputs to a single S-Box permutation unit because it offers the advantage of increasing circuit space efficiency by providing the functionality of the S-Box permutation unit to a greater number of inputs while not further increasing the space required by the S-Box unit.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L Nalven whose telephone number is 703 305 8407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703 308 4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATER A COMPLETE TECHNOLOGY COST EN 2012

Andrew Nalven